



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,822	10/30/2003	Kevin Maher	1666.1000	1293
23649 7590 06/08/2007 HANES & SCHUTZ, LLC 102 SOUTH TEJON ST. SUITE 800 COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80903			EXAMINER THANH, QUANG D	
			ART UNIT 3771	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/08/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,822	MAHER, KEVIN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quang D. Thanh	3771	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Quang D. Thanh. (3) _____
 (2) Richard Hanes. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 04 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: Heisler '802.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested reconsideration regarding the objection to the new matter "for continuous rotation through 360 degrees", and "for imparting continuous 360 degrees rotation", the examiner maintains that there is no support in the specification to teach continuous 360 degrees of rotation. Applicant relies on the disclosure of the treatment as being "spinning, rolling and tumbling the body"; and "tumbling or somersault type of head rotation" to infer continuous 360 degrees of rotation. While it is true that these motions of "spinning, rolling, tumbling or somersault" involve a certain degree of rotation, it does not necessary require that the rotation to be continuously 360 degrees. The platform can be rotated 90 degrees forwardly and backwardly to produce a spinning, rolling or tumbling action. Similarly, number of rotations may refer to rotation of a certain degree and not necessary require the rotation to be 360 degrees.

Applicant also argued that Heisler '802 does not teach or suggests imparting continuous 360 degrees of rotation, the examiner maintains that it reasonably appears that Heisler's disclosure of a rotatable platform (columns 5-6 and figs. 1 and 8) would have fairly suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art, a platform being capable of rotating through 360 degrees.



QUANG D. THANH
PRIMARY EXAMINER